

## State Bar opinions that address the missing client scenario

Where available, links to the full text are provided. Digests of all of these opinions are available in the *ABA/BNA Lawyers' Manual on Professional Conduct*. Further information about the Lawyers' Manual (which includes a 30 day free trial) is available here:

<http://www.abanet.org/cpr/pubs/manual.html>

- 1) Alabama Opinion 88-45 (7/29/88)
- 2) Alabama Opinion 88-49 (7/28/88)
- 3) Alabama Opinion 87-147 (12/31/87)
- 4) Alaska Opinion 2004-3 <http://www.alaskabar.org/ethops/2004-3.htm> (9/13/04)
- 5) Arizona Opinion 06-07 <http://www.myazbar.org/Ethics/opinionview.cfm?id=691> (9/06)
- 6) Arizona Opinion 2001-08 <http://www.myazbar.org/Ethics/opinionview.cfm?id=276> (9/01)
- 7) Arizona Opinion 91-10 <http://www.myazbar.org/Ethics/opinionview.cfm?id=625> (4/2/91)
- 8) California Opinion 2007-173 <http://calbar.ca.gov/calbar/pdfs/ethics/2007-173.pdf>
- 9) California Opinion 2002-160 [http://www.calbar.ca.gov/calbar/pdfs/ethics/OPN\\_2002\\_160.pdf](http://www.calbar.ca.gov/calbar/pdfs/ethics/OPN_2002_160.pdf)
- 10) California Opinion 1989-111 [http://www.calbar.ca.gov/calbar/html\\_unclassified/ca89-111.html](http://www.calbar.ca.gov/calbar/html_unclassified/ca89-111.html) (undated)
- 11) California (Los Angeles County) Bar Opinion 441 (1/26/87)
- 12) Connecticut Bar Opinion 98-21 (10/22/98)
- 13) Connecticut Bar Opinion 99-48 (11/19/99)
- 14) Connecticut Bar Opinion 93-7 (3/26/93)
- 15) Illinois State Bar Opinion 03-04 (1/04)
- 16) Illinois State Bar Opinion 92-4 (undated)
- 17) Illinois State Bar Opinion 89-13 (4/9/90)
- 18) Illinois State Bar Opinion 88-4 (2/9/89)
- 19) Chicago Bar Association Opinion 92-4 (undated)
- 20) Iowa Opinion 99-12 <http://www.iowabar.org/ethics.nsf/e61beed77a215f6686256497004ce492/9556b7b00d89ac068625689e0073d66e!OpenDocument> (3/8/00)

- 21) Iowa Opinion 86-7  
<http://www.iowabar.org/ethics.nsf/e61beed77a215f6686256497004ce492/99415bdb2ffb159f862564c3004a0a7c!OpenDocument> (11/17/86)
- 22) Kansas Opinion 99-05 (8/3/99)
- 23) Suffolk County (New York) Bar Opinion 89-8 (undated)
- 24) Missouri Opinion 2003-0030 (search engine for Missouri Informal Opinions is available here: <http://www.mobar.org/mobarforms/opinions.aspx> (undated)
- 25) Missouri Opinion 980007 (search engine for Missouri Informal Opinions is available here: <http://www.mobar.org/mobarforms/opinions.aspx> (undated)
- 26) North Carolina State Bar Association Opinion 223  
<http://www.ncbar.com/ethics/ethics.asp?order=0>
- 27) Oregon State Bar Opinion <http://www.osbar.org/docs/ethics/2005-33.pdf> 2005-33 (2005)
- 28) Pennsylvania Bar Opinion 97-123 (10/22/97)
- 29) Pennsylvania Bar Opinion 95-169 (11/13/95)
- 30) Pennsylvania Bar Opinion 94-52 (7/1/94)
- 31) Pennsylvania Bar Opinion 93-58 (3/25/93)
- 32) Pennsylvania State Bar Opinion 93-21 (1/21/93)
- 33) Philadelphia Bar Opinion 98-8 (3/98)  
<http://www.philadelphiabar.org/page/EthicsOpinion98-8?appNum=1&wosid=XwXDWPJIM92bVst9CAduIM>
- 34) Philadelphia Bar Opinion 94-10  
<http://www.philadelphiabar.org/page/EthicsOpinion94-10?appNum=1&wosid=obd9TIYI3zsCHvTXT0Jt0w> (6/94)
- 35) Rhode Island Opinion 2005-04  
<http://www.courts.state.ri.us/supreme/ethics/pdfadvisoryopinions/2005-04.pdf> (4/14/05)
- 36) Rhode Island Opinion 91-82  
<http://www.courts.state.ri.us/supreme/ethics/pdfadvisoryopinions/91-82.pdf> (12/5/91)
- 37) Rhode Island Opinion 91-16  
<http://www.courts.state.ri.us/supreme/ethics/pdfadvisoryopinions/91-16.pdf> (5/23/91)
- 38) Rhode Island Opinion 93-1  
<http://www.courts.state.ri.us/supreme/ethics/pdfadvisoryopinions/93-01.pdf>
- 39) South Carolina Opinion 98-07  
<http://www.scbar.org/member/opinion.asp?opinionID=497>
- 40) South Carolina Opinion 94-35 (1/95)  
<http://www.scbar.org/member/opinion.asp?opinionID=421>

- 41) South Dakota Opinion 93-11 links to South Dakota ethics opinions are available here:  
<http://www.sdbar.org/Ethics/ethics.shtm> (undated)
- 42) South Dakota Opinion 92-6 links to South Dakota ethics opinions are available here:  
<http://www.sdbar.org/Ethics/ethics.shtm> (7/16/92)
- 43) South Dakota Opinion 91-20 links to South Dakota ethics opinions are available here:  
<http://www.sdbar.org/Ethics/ethics.shtm> (10/16/91)
- 44) Maryland Opinion 2006-22 (10/18/06)
- 45) Maryland Opinion 2004-30 (10/11/04)
- 46) Maryland Opinion 88-91 (9/6/88)
- 47) Michigan Opinion RI-58  
[http://www.michbar.org/opinions/ethics/numbered\\_opinions/ri-058.htm](http://www.michbar.org/opinions/ethics/numbered_opinions/ri-058.htm) (9/5/90)
- 48) Utah Opinion 78 [http://www.utahbar.org/rules\\_ops\\_pols/ethics\\_opinions/op\\_078.html](http://www.utahbar.org/rules_ops_pols/ethics_opinions/op_078.html)
- 49) Virginia Opinion 841 (10/9/86)
- 48) Virginia Opinion 842 (9/23/86)
- 49) Virginia Opinion 897 (4/1/87)
- 50) Virginia Opinion 1088 (6/8/88)
- 51) Virginia Opinion 1173 (10/24/88)
- 52) Wisconsin Opinion E-96-2  
[http://www.wisbar.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Wisconsin\\_ethics\\_opinions&TEMPLATE=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&CONTENTID=52800](http://www.wisbar.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Wisconsin_ethics_opinions&TEMPLATE=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&CONTENTID=52800) (11/22/96)