

ABA Formal Opinion 07-445

State and local Bar Ethics Opinions on the solicitation of class members

1) Alabama Opinion 89-57 (6/2/89)

2) Alaska Opinion 92-1 (1/17/92)

<http://www.alaskabar.org/index.cfm?ID=4822>

3) District of Columbia Opinion 302 (2000)

http://www.dcbbar.org/for_lawyers/ethics/legal_ethics/opinions/opinion302.cfm

4) Iowa Opinion 93-13 (9/2/93)

<http://www.iowabar.org/ethics.nsf/e61beed77a215f6686256497004ce492/45e9a5318d7d1254862564a500471756!OpenDocument>

5) Kansas Opinion 94-4 (8/22/94)

6) Massachusetts Bar Association Opinion 93-5 (1993)

<http://www.massbar.org/for-attorneys/publications/ethics-opinions/1990-1999/1993/opinion-no-93-5>

7) Nassau County (New York) Opinion 94-8 (2/16/94)

8) New York State Bar Opinion 676 (10/31/95)

http://www.nysba.org/Content/NavigationMenu/Attorney_Resources/Ethics_Opinions/Committee_on_Professional_Ethics_Opinion_676.htm

9) North Carolina Opinion 2004-5 (1/21/05) Advertising and solicitation; Class actions; Mailings.

<http://www.ncbar.com/ethics/>

10) Supreme Court of Ohio Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline Opinion 92-2 (2/14/92)

http://www.sconet.state.oh.us/BOC/Advisory_Opinions/1992/op%2092-002.doc

11) Rhode Island Opinion 91-75 (10/30/91)

<http://www.courts.state.ri.us/supreme/ethics/pdfadvisoryopinions/91-75.pdf>

12) South Carolina Opinion 95-25 (10/95)

<http://www.scbar.org/member/opinion.asp?opinionID=437>

ABA Formal Opinion 07-446

I. Opinions stating that no disclosure of legal assistance is required

Arizona Ethics Opinion 06-03 (2006)

<http://www.myazbar.org/Ethics/opinionview.cfm?id=687>

Illinois State Bar Opinion 849 (1983)

Maine Board of Overseers of the Bar Opinion 89 (1988)

<http://www.mebaroverseers.org/Ethics%20Opinions/Opinion%2089.htm>

Virginia Legal Eth. Op. 1761 (2002)

<http://www.vacle.org/opinions/1761.htm>

Virginia Legal Eth. Op. 1592 (1994)

<http://www.vacle.org/opinions/1594.TXT>

Virginia Legal Eth. Op. 1127 (1988)

Los Angeles County Opinion 483 (1995)

<http://www.lacba.org/showpage.cfm?pageid=431>

Alaska Bar Opinion 93-1 (1993)

(lawyer's assistance must be disclosed unless lawyer merely helped client fill out forms designed for pro se litigants);

<http://www.alaskabar.org/index.cfm?ID=4828>

II. Opinions stating identity of lawyer providing assistance must be disclosed

Colorado Bar Ass'n Eth. Opinion 101 (1998)

<http://www.cobar.org/group/display.cfm?GenID=1822&EntityID=CETH>

Connecticut Inf. Eth Op. 98-5

Delaware State Bar Ass'n Committee on Prof'l Eth. Op. 1994-2 (1994)

Kentucky Bar Ass'n Committee on Prof'l Eth. Op. E-343 (1991)

http://www.kybar.org/documents/ethics_opinions/kba_e-343.pdf

New York State Bar Opinion 613 (1990)

http://www.nysba.org/Content/ContentGroups/Ethics_opinions_archive/Ethics_Opinions_601-625.htm

III. Opinions stating that disclosure of fact of legal assistance must be made to avoid misleading court and other parties, but the lawyer providing the assistance need not be identified.

[ABA Informal Opinion 1414](#)

Florida Bar Ass'n Eth. Op. 79-7 (reconsideration) (2000)

<http://www.floridabar.org/TFB/TFBETOpin.nsf/ca2dcdaa853ef7b885256728004f87db/8cbbba193ca2133185256b2f006cac97?OpenDocument>

Iowa Supreme Court Bd. of Prof'l Eth. & Conduct Op. 98-1 (1998)

<http://www.iowabar.org/ethics.nsf/e61beed77a215f6686256497004ce492/afc5d662305ed915862564f400134181!OpenDocument>

Massachusetts Bar Ass'n Eth Op. 98-1 (1998)

<http://www.massbar.org/for-attorneys/publications/ethics-opinions/1990-1999/1998/opinion-no-98-1>

New Hampshire Bar Association Practical Ethics Article: Unbundled Services: Assisting the Pro Se Litigant) (1999)

<http://www.nhbar.org/pdfs/PEA5-99.pdf>

Association of the Bar of the City of New York Opinion 1987-2

<http://www.nycbar.org/Ethics/eth1987-2.htm>