

**STATUS OF STATE REVIEW OF ABA MODEL CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT (2007)**

**Thirty-nine (39) jurisdictions have initiated or completed review of their judicial codes in light of the 2007 revisions to the ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct. Of those jurisdictions:**

·Twelve (12) (AZ, AR, DE, HI, IN, KS, MN, MT, NV, OH, UT and WY) have approved a revised judicial code.

·Twenty (20) have established committees to review their code (CA, DC, IL, KY, LA, ME, MA\*, MS, MO, NJ, NM, NY, ND, OR, RI, SC, TN, TX, VT, and WI).

·Seven (7) (CO, CT, IA, MD, NH, OK, WA) have proposed revisions to their Judicial Codes.

State	Committee Reviewing Code	Committee Issued Report	Supreme Court Approved Revised Code	Notes
Alabama				
Alaska				
Arizona			X	Revised judicial code effective 9/1/09 <a href="http://www.supreme.state.az.us/rules/2008RulesA/2009%20Rules/R090007%206.2.09.pdf">http://www.supreme.state.az.us/rules/2008RulesA/2009%20Rules/R090007%206.2.09.pdf</a>
Arkansas			X	Revised judicial code effective 7/1/09 <a href="http://courts.arkansas.gov/court_opinions/sc/2009a/20090423/published/08-924.pdf">http://courts.arkansas.gov/court_opinions/sc/2009a/20090423/published/08-924.pdf</a>
California	X			Supreme Court Advisory Committee on the Code of Judicial Ethics conducting review
Colorado		X		Supreme Court Judicial Code Committee has submitted report to Court <a href="http://www.courts.state.co.us/userfiles/File/JudicialCodeReport.pdf">http://www.courts.state.co.us/userfiles/File/JudicialCodeReport.pdf</a>
Connecticut		X		Working group has submitted draft to Superior Court Rules Committee

<b>Delaware</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 11/1/08 <a href="http://courts.delaware.gov/Rules/?DJCJC_101608a.pdf">http://courts.delaware.gov/Rules/?DJCJC_101608a.pdf</a>
<b>D.C.</b>	X			Court Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct conducting review
<b>Florida</b>				Rules regarding duties of judicial candidates changed effective 7/3/08 <a href="http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/decisions/2008/sc07-1133.pdf">http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/decisions/2008/sc07-1133.pdf</a>  Rules on participation in charitable fundraising changed effective 5/22/08 <a href="http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/decisions/2008/sc07-1135.pdf">www.floridasupremecourt.org/decisions/2008/sc07-1135.pdf</a>
<b>Georgia</b>				
<b>Hawaii</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 1/1/09 <a href="http://www.state.hi.us/jud/ctrules/rcjc.htm">http://www.state.hi.us/jud/ctrules/rcjc.htm</a>
<b>Idaho</b>				
<b>Illinois</b>	X			
<b>Indiana</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 1/1/09 <a href="http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules/jud_conduct/jud_conduct09.pdf">http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules/jud_conduct/jud_conduct09.pdf</a>
<b>Iowa</b>		X		The Iowa Supreme Court on January 19, 2010 proposed a new Iowa Code of Judicial Conduct. <a href="http://www.iowacourts.gov/Supreme_Court/Orders/">http://www.iowacourts.gov/Supreme_Court/Orders/</a>
<b>Kansas</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 3/1/09 <a href="http://www.kscourts.org/Kansas-Courts/Supreme-Court/Orders/2009/2009sc006.pdf">http://www.kscourts.org/Kansas-Courts/Supreme-Court/Orders/2009/2009sc006.pdf</a>
<b>Kentucky</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Louisiana</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Maine</b>	X			Committee on Judicial Responsibility and Disability conducting review

<b>Maryland</b>		X		A proposed revised Code of Judicial Conduct, along with a parallel Code for Judicial Appointees and a Rule on problem-solving programs (drug courts, etc.) was sent to the Maryland Court of Appeals last week in the Rules Committee's 163rd Report. The Court will be holding an open hearing on those (and other) proposals on March 8, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. <a href="http://www.courts.state.md.us/rules/reports/163report.pdf">http://www.courts.state.md.us/rules/reports/163report.pdf</a>
-----------------	--	---	--	---

<b>Massachusetts</b>	X			The Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts has revised Canon 3B(9) of the Massachusetts Code of Judicial Conduct—complete with an appendix containing an accompanying Guidance Regarding the Issuance of Explanatory Memoranda. <a href="http://www.mass.gov/courts/sjc/docs/Rules/Rule_3-09_amendment.pdf">http://www.mass.gov/courts/sjc/docs/Rules/Rule_3-09_amendment.pdf</a>
<b>Michigan</b>				
<b>Minnesota</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 7/1/09 <a href="http://www.mncourts.gov/Documents/0/Public/Clerks_Office/Order_Jud_Conduct_Amend.pdf">http://www.mncourts.gov/Documents/0/Public/Clerks_Office/Order_Jud_Conduct_Amend.pdf</a>
<b>Mississippi</b>	X			The MS committee's first meeting will take place Friday, September 11, 2009.
<b>Missouri</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Montana</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 1/1/09 <a href="http://fnweb.isd.doa.state.mt.us/idmws/custom/SLL/SLL_FN_BLOG.asp?IDMID=003798694">http://fnweb.isd.doa.state.mt.us/idmws/custom/SLL/SLL_FN_BLOG.asp?IDMID=003798694</a>
<b>Nebraska</b>		X		Supreme Court has published recommendations, comments requested until March 31, 2010. Recommendations available at <a href="http://www.supremecourt.ne.gov">www.supremecourt.ne.gov</a>
<b>Nevada</b>			X	Revised Code effective 1/19/2010 <a href="http://www.nevadajudiciary.us/index.php/viewdocumentsandforms/func-startdown/3085/">http://www.nevadajudiciary.us/index.php/viewdocumentsandforms/func-startdown/3085/</a>
<b>New Hampshire</b>		X		Subcommittee of Supreme Court Rules Committee has issued proposed revisions <a href="http://www.abanet.org/cpr/jclr/nh_report.pdf">http://www.abanet.org/cpr/jclr/nh_report.pdf</a>
<b>New Jersey</b>	X			Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct ad hoc committee conducting review

<b>New Mexico</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review  New Rule 21-300E (Judicial misconduct involving illegal drugs; reporting requirement) effective 3/23/09 <a href="http://www.nmcompcomm.us/nmrules/NMRules/mar_kup/21-300_(approved_2-4-2009).pdf">http://www.nmcompcomm.us/nmrules/NMRules/mar_kup/21-300_(approved_2-4-2009).pdf</a>
<b>New York</b>	X			State Bar Special Committee to Review the Code of Judicial Conduct conducting review
<b>North Carolina</b>				
<b>North Dakota</b>	X			Judiciary Standards Committee conducting review
<b>Ohio</b>			X	Revised judicial code effective 3/1/09
<b>Oklahoma</b>		X		Model Code of Judicial Conduct Subcommittee of Bar Association Bench and Bar Committee has issued proposed revisions <a href="http://www.okbar.org/ethics/judicialconduct/CodeJudicial.htm">http://www.okbar.org/ethics/judicialconduct/CodeJudicial.htm</a>
<b>Oregon</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Pennsylvania</b>				
<b>Rhode Island</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>South Carolina</b>	X			Committee conducting review
<b>South Dakota</b>				
<b>Tennessee</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Texas</b>	X			Supreme Court committee conducting review
<b>Utah</b>			X	Revised Judicial Code effective 4/1/10 <a href="http://www.utcourts.gov/resources/rules/approved/">http://www.utcourts.gov/resources/rules/approved/</a> or <a href="http://www.utcourts.gov/resources/rules/">http://www.utcourts.gov/resources/rules/</a> (visible starting April 2010)
<b>Vermont</b>	X			Supreme Court Civil Rules Committee conducting review
<b>Virginia</b>				

<b>Washington</b>		X		Supreme Court committee has issued proposed revisions. <a href="http://www.courts.wa.gov/committee/index.cfm?fa=committee.home&amp;committee_id=141">http://www.courts.wa.gov/committee/index.cfm?fa=committee.home&amp;committee_id=141</a>
<b>West Virginia</b>				
<b>Wisconsin</b>	X			In May 2009, the Wisconsin Supreme Court agreed to form a committee to study the judicial code and adopted the following mission statement:  "The mission of the Committee to Study the Code of Judicial Conduct is to conduct a comprehensive review of the Wisconsin Code of Judicial Conduct including examining the American Bar Association's 2007 Model Code of Judicial Conduct as revised, other proposals for changes to Wisconsin's code, and developing law and codes of other states, and if warranted, to make recommendations for modifications to the Wisconsin Code of Judicial Conduct."  The members of the committee have not been named yet.  October 2009: <a href="http://www.wisbar.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=News&amp;Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&amp;ContentID=87014">http://www.wisbar.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=News&amp;Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&amp;ContentID=87014</a>
<b>Wyoming</b>			X	Effective July 1, 2009 <a href="http://www.courts.state.wy.us/CourtRules_Entities.aspx?RulesPage=JudicialConduct.xml">http://www.courts.state.wy.us/CourtRules_Entities.aspx?RulesPage=JudicialConduct.xml</a>

Copyright © 2010 American Bar Association. All rights reserved. Nothing contained in these charts is to be considered the rendering of legal advice. The charts are intended for educational and informational purposes only. Information regarding variations from the

**ABA Model Code should not be construed as representing policy of the American Bar Association. The charts are current as of the date shown on each. A jurisdiction may have amended its rules or proposals since the time its chart was created.**