

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 1999
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE*</u>	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Lawyers 1999</u>	<u>Investigators 1999</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals 1999</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/Admin . 1999</u>	<u>Law Students/Clerk s 1999</u>	<u>Auditors 1999</u>	<u>Probation Monitors 1999</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff 1999</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff 1999</u>
Alabama	1	3	0	0;1	3	4	0	0	0	0	11;1
Alaska	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	7
Arizona	1	14	0	1	1	7	0	1	0	0	25
California ¹	1	6	50	63	17	77	0	1	0	0	214
Colorado	1	1	12	6	1	8	1	0	1	0	31
Connecticut	1	7;11	0	0;2	0	4;3	0	0	0	0	12;16
Delaware	1	2;1	0	0	0	1;0.5	0	0	0	0	4;1.5
District of Columbia	1	8	1	0	0	8;0.5	10;0.5	0	0	0	28;1
Florida	1	33	0	29	11	50;2	0	5	1	0	130;2
Georgia	1	7	3	3	2	8	0;1	0	0	0	24;1
Hawaii	1	4	0	1	2	4	0;1	0	0	0	12;1
Idaho	1	1	0	2	0	2	0;1	0	0	0	6;1
Illinois	1	32	3	11	15.5	13.5	0;3	0	0.5;1	0	76.5;3
Indiana	1	0	8;1	1	0	3	0;2	0	0	0	13;3
Iowa	1	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
Kansas	1	3	0	2	0	3	0;1	0	0	2	11;1
Kentucky	1	1	3	0.5	1.5	4	0	0	0	0	11
Louisiana	1	8	0	5	0	14;1	0	0	0	13;1	41;2

¹California - Reflects staffing funded by Supreme Court to reopen discipline system.

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 1999
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE*</u>	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Lawyers 1999</u>	<u>Investigators 1999</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals 1999</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/Admin . 1999</u>	<u>Law Students/Clerk s 1999</u>	<u>Auditors 1999</u>	<u>Probation Monitors 1999</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff 1999</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff 1999</u>
Maine	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
Maryland	1	9	0	8	2	12	0	0	0	0	32
Massachusetts	1	14;1.3	0	2	11;0.5	13;0.7	0	0	0	0	40;2.5
Michigan	1	13	0	3	0	12	4	0	0	1	34
Minnesota	1	9	0	0	4;1	8;1	0;1	0	0	0	22;3
Mississippi	1	2			0	2	0	0	0	0	5
Missouri	1	0	4;11	0	1	5;1	0	0	0	0	11;12
Nebraska	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Nevada	1	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	9
New Hampshire	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	5
New Jersey	1	9.5	1.5	12	0;2	21	0	6	0	6	57;2
New Mexico	1	3;1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0;1	7;2
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	1	18	0	4	3	14;2	0	0	0	0	40;2
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	1	7	0	1	1	4;2	0;8	2	0	0	16;10
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	1	7	1	1	0	7	0;3	1	0	0	18;3
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	1	7;1	0	2	0	6	0;6	0	0	0	16;7
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	1	4	0	2	6	0	1	1	0	0	15
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	1	7	0	5	0	7;1	0;2	0	0	0	20;3

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 1999
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE*</u>	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel 1999</u>	<u>Other Lawyers 1999</u>	<u>Investigators 1999</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals 1999</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/Admin . 1999</u>	<u>Law Students/Clerk s 1999</u>	<u>Auditors 1999</u>	<u>Probation Monitors 1999</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff 1999</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff 1999</u>
North Carolina	1	5	0	7	1	4	0;1	1	0	0	19;1
North Dakota	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Ohio	1	1	6	2	1	9	0	0	0	0	20
Oklahoma	1	3	0	4	0	4	0;1	0	0	0	12;1
Oregon	1	6	0	0;1	1	6	0	0	0	0	14;1
Pennsylvania	1	28	0	8	0	20	3.5	3	0	0	63.5
Rhode Island	1	1	3	1	0	3;1	0	0	0	0	9;1
South Carolina	1	1	3	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
Tennessee	1	7	0	0 ²	0	8	0	0	0	0	16
Texas	1	18	7	25	14	45;8	1;2	0	0	0	111;10
Vermont	1	1	1	1	0	2	0;0.5	0	0	0	6;0.5
Virginia	1	7	3;2	9	2	4	0	0	0	0	26;2
Washington	1	17.1	0	3	6	5	0;1	2	0	0;1	34.1;2
Wisconsin	1	1	2	6;2.3	0	7;0.5	0	0	0	0	17;2.8
Wyoming	1	1	0	Contract as needed	0	0;0.875	0	0	0	0	2;0.875

²Tennessee - Disciplinary Counsel also serve as investigators.