

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2005

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Privately Sanctioned</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred On Consent</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned Excluding Interim Suspensions</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Interimly Suspended (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Publicly Reprimanded/ Censured</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. on Probation</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Transferred to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Alabama	46	51	2	2	18	5	20	4	2
Alaska	3	7	2	0	2	0	3	0	3
Arizona	0	112	4	2	22	8	68	49	4
Arkansas	33	99	7	12	17	5	72	0	1
California	67	426	57	68	229	75	72	176	9
Colorado	5	59	17	2	38	15	1	19	10
Connecticut	NA	145	4	9	21	6	58 ¹	NA	6
Delaware	9	15	3	0	7	0	5	2	0
District of Columbia	NA	106	20	6	36	35	15 ²	6	2
Florida	NA	396	33	4	137	30	65 ³	54	5
Georgia	57	69	22	10	25	NA	9	NA	NA
Hawaii	28	18	5	3	8	0	2	NA	1
Idaho	42	15	1	1	6	3	7	5	0
Illinois	0	166	15	17	85	12	30	21	1
Indiana	20	53	1	12	23	3	17	6	0
Iowa	70	25	1	1	5	0	19	0	NA

¹Connecticut: 5 by the Court, 53 by Statewide Grievance Committee.

²District of Columbia: Bar Counsel also issued 27 admonitions.

³Florida: 67 admonishments were also issued.

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2005
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Privately Sanctioned</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred On Consent</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned Excluding Interim Suspensions</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Interimly Suspended (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Publicly Reprimanded/ Censured</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. on Probation</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Transferred to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Kansas	NA	47	8	5	6	1	26	1	3
Kentucky	56	44	3	1	22	5	13	7	NA
Louisiana	38	52	10	7	30	19	12	16	11
Maine	NA ⁴	19 ⁵	3	3	1	1	7	0	NA
Maryland	0	72	10	14	22	1	25	0	1
Massachusetts	43	89 ⁶	11	10	39	12	29 ⁷	2 ⁸	1
Michigan	165	117	19	1	57	9	29	2	0
Minnesota	113	35	2	4	22	7	7	10	2
Mississippi	14	23	6	3	5	1	8	NA	2
Missouri	94	43	15	2	14	2	5	6	0
Montana	51	18	1	NA	6	1	8	2	2
Nebraska	13	20	5	3	3	5	4	5	0
Nevada	28	27	4	2	13	3	5	9	1
New Hampshire	NA	17	4	0	5	2	9	0	NA
New Jersey	NA	178	19	11	45	33	42 ⁹	0	2

⁴Maine: Does not have "non-public discipline" but issued 17 non-public informal "Dismissals With Warning," which are not a form of discipline.

⁵Maine: Four of these were public non-disciplinary warnings.

⁶Massachusetts: Excludes interim suspensions.

⁷Massachusetts: Includes 5 reciprocal disciplinary sanctions.

⁸Massachusetts: Excludes ethics school.

⁹New Jersey: 34 reprimands; 8 censures.

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2005
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Privately Sanctioned</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred On Consent</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned Excluding Interim Suspensions</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Interimly Suspended (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Publicly Reprimanded/ Censured</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. on Probation</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Transferred to Disability Inactive Status</u>
New Mexico	6	8	1	1	5	1	1	5	NA
New York 1st Judicial Department	75	61	21	8	15	9	6	NA	2
New York 2nd Judicial Department 2nd & 11th Jud. Districts	145	44	13	11	19	19	1	NA	NA
New York 2nd Judicial Department 9th Judicial District	49	22	7	1	11	11	3	NA	NA
New York 2nd Judicial Department 10th Judicial District	55	35	6	11	9	5	4	NA	20
New York 3rd Judicial Department	109	29	11	NA	13	3	5	NA	0
New York 4th Judicial Department 5th, 7th and 8th Jud. Dist.	166	25	7	4	10	NA	4	NA	0
North Carolina	34	79	12	9	22	3	36	16	6
North Dakota	19	11	2	0	4	1	5	5	0
Ohio	0 ¹⁰	93	6	9	44	22	8	NA	NA
Oklahoma	24	18	0	9	5	0	4	0	NA
Oregon	NA	111	2	9	35	3	22	1	0

¹⁰Ohio: Does not recognize Private Reprimands as a sanction.

CHART II

SANCTIONS IMPOSED

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2005
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Privately Sanctioned</u>	<u>No. of Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Disbarred On Consent</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned Excluding Interim Suspensions</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Interimly Suspended (for risk of harm or criminal conviction)</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Publicly Reprimanded/ Censured</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. on Probation</u>	<u>Of Total Lawyers Publicly Sanctioned No. Transferred to Disability Inactive Status</u>
Pennsylvania	134	116	19	18	51	15	2	24	6
Rhode Island	29	11	NA	3	2	0	3	3	NA
South Carolina	29	26	0	3	12	13	11	NA	1
South Dakota	20	5	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
Tennessee	99	56	4	0	17	14 ¹¹	35	NA	4 ¹¹
Texas	108	236	38 ¹²	NA	119	2	62	NA	0
Utah	24	42	4	3	7	5	27	NA	1
Vermont	5	7	0	1	1	3	3	1	0
Virginia	126	77	5	10	25	NA	31	NA	2
Washington	NA	80 ¹³	9	4	31	15	22	6	1
Wisconsin	16	39	2	4	17	21	15	0	0
Wyoming	3	5	0	0	0	1	3	NA	0
TOTAL*	2,270	3,799	483	335	1,444	465	1,006	463	112
AVERAGE*	49	69	9	6	26	9	18	12	3
MEDIAN*	34	44	5	4	17	5	9	5	1

*West Virginia did not provide data.

¹¹Tennessee: Not a disciplinary sanction.

¹³Texas: Does not distinguish between types of disbarment.

¹²Washington: Includes Admonitions.