

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2004
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

<u>STATE</u>	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Lawyers</u>	<u>Investigators</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin.</u>	<u>Law Students/ Clerks</u>	<u>Auditors</u>	<u>Probation Monitors</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff</u>	<u>% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies</u>
Alabama	1	3	0	0 ; 1	2	5	0	0	0	0	11 ; 1	16%
Alaska	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	7	N/A
Arizona	1	10	3	2	1.5	10	0	1.5	0	3	32	33%
Arkansas	1	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	28%
California	1	5	60	57	36	56	19	0	0	2	240	N/A
Colorado	1	2	11	5 ¹	N/A	11	2	1	1	1	34	30%
Connecticut	2	4;03	0	2	0	5;02	2	0	N/A	0	15;05	33%
Delaware	1	3	0	0	0	1 ; 1	0	0 ; 2 ³	0	0	5 ; 1	33%
District of Columbia	1	10	1	1	0	8	11	0	0	1	32	33%
Florida	1	32	0	0 ; 24	10	53 ; 4	0	5	0	0	101 ; 28	33%
Georgia	1	7	2	1	4	4	0	0	N/A	N/A	19	N/A
Hawaii	1	4 ; 2	0	1	2	4	0	0	N/A	0	12 ; 2	2%
Idaho	1	1	0	2	0	2	0 ; 1	0	0	0	6 ; 1	67%
Illinois	1	37.4	5	11	14	15	0 ; 3	0	0.5 ; 1	0	83.9 ; 4	33%
Indiana	1	0	9 ; 1	1	0	3	0 ; 3	0	0	0	14 ; 4	22% ⁴
Iowa	1	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	20%
Kansas	1	4	0	2	0	3	1	1	0	0	12	0%
Kentucky	1	6	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	0	17	33% - 19%
Louisiana	1	9	0	4 ; 1	0	13 ; 1	0	0	0	14 ; 4	41 ; 6	33%
Maine	1	2	0	0	0	6	0;1	0	0	0	9;1	N/A

¹Colorado: Includes paralegals/legal assistants.

²Connecticut: Combined Statewide Bar Counsel and Chief Disciplinary Counsel's Offices.

³Delaware: Paid for by the Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection.

⁴Indiana: Not an adjudicative body.

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2004
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Lawyers</u>	<u>Investigators</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin.</u>	<u>Law Students/ Clerks</u>	<u>Auditors</u>	<u>Probation Monitors</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff</u>	<u>% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies</u>
Maryland	1	2	8	6	2	11	0	0	0	0	30	33.30%
Massachusetts	1	18	0	16	0	12 ; 2	0	0	0	0	47 ; 2	25%
Michigan	1	12 ⁵	0	3	0	13	3	0	0	1	30	33%
Minnesota	1	8	0	0	4 ; 1	8 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	0	0	21 ; 3	40%
Mississippi	1	2	0	0	1	0 ; 1	0	0	0	0	4 ; 1	0%
Missouri	1	5	1 ; 11	0	3	7 ; 1	0	0	0	0	17 ; 12	33%
Montana	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	25%
Nebraska	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	33%
Nevada	1	3	0	0	4 ⁶	2	0	0	0	0	10	N/A
New Hampshire	1	0	3	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	10	38%
New Jersey	1	9.5	1.5	22	1	21	0 ; 2	5	0	3	64 ; 2	33%
New Mexico	1	3 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	7 ; 2	33%
New York 1st Jud. Dept.	1	21	0	6	5	10	0 ; 1	1	N/A	2	46 ; 1	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 2nd & 11th Jud. Dist.	1	9	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	17	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 9th Jud. Dist.	1	8	0	1	0	5 ; 2	0	0 ; 1	0	0	14 ; 2	20%
New York 2nd Jud. Dept. 10th Jud. Dist.	1	1 ; 1	9	2	0	7	N/A	0	0	0	20	0% ⁷

⁵Michigan: For Attorney Grievance Commission and Disciplinary Board.

⁶Nevada: Probation monitoring performed by one paralegal.

⁷New York 10th Judicial District: For formal hearings. Nonlawyers comprise 20% of Grievance Committee members.

CHART VIII

STATE-BY-STATE STAFFING OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

SOURCE: Survey on Lawyer Discipline Systems, 2004
ABA Center for Professional Responsibility

	<u>Chief Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Disciplinary Counsel</u>	<u>Other Lawyers</u>	<u>Investigators</u>	<u>Legal Assistants/ Paralegals</u>	<u>Secretarial/ Clerical/ Admin.</u>	<u>Law Students/ Clerks</u>	<u>Auditors</u>	<u>Probation Monitors</u>	<u>Other Paid Staff</u>	<u>Total Paid Staff</u>	<u>% Nonlawyer Members on Hearing Bodies</u>
New York 3rd Jud. Dept.	1	5	0	2	0	7	0	0 ; 0.5	0	0	15 ; 5	14%
New York 4th Jud. Dept.	1	3	4 ; 1	6	0	7 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	0	0	21 ; 3	14%
North Carolina	1	6	0	7	2	6	0 ; 1	1	0	0	23 ; 1	40%
North Dakota	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	33%
Ohio: Discipline Counsel's Office	1	1	6	2 ; 1	2	9	0 ; 1	0	0	0	21 ; 2	14% ⁸
Oklahoma	1	0	4	4	0	4	0 ; 1	0	0	0	13 ; 1	32%
Oregon	1	7	0	1	1	5 ; 0.6	0	0	0	0	15 ; 0.6	33%
Pennsylvania	1	1	25	8	0	19	0 ; 3.5	3	0	0	57 ; 3.5	13%
Rhode Island	1	1	2	1	0	3 ; 1	0	0	0	0	8 ; 1	33%
South Carolina	1	1	5	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	13	0 ⁹
South Dakota	0 ; 1	0 ; 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 ; 2	14%
Tennessee	1	7	1 ¹⁰	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	18	N/A
Texas	1	19	0	21	12	33	0 ; 1	0	4	8	98 ; 1	N/A
Utah	1	5	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	10	23%
Vermont	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0 ; 0.5	3 ; 0.5	33%
Virginia	1	10	5 ; 2	10	2	8	0	0	0	0	36 ; 2	33%
Washington	1	15	0	3	6	7	0	0	0	0	32	0%
West Virginia	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	7	33%
Wisconsin	1	2	2	11 ; 3	1	6 ; 1	0	0	0	0	23 ; 4	35%
Wyoming	0 ; 1	0	0	0	0 ; 1	0	0	0	0	0	0 ; 2	28%

⁸Ohio: Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline.

⁹South Carolina: Two non-lawyers are on investigative panels.

¹⁰Tennessee: Consumer Assistance Program lawyer.